

Law Offices
Martin, Craig, Chester & Sonnenschein
LaSalle Bank Building
135 South La Salle Street
Chicago, Illinois
60603

JACOB H. MARTIN
SYDNEY G. CRAIG
CHARLES G. CHESTER
HUGO SONNENSCHN
W. B. MARTIN GROSS
RICHARD J. KISSEL
DONALD E. TOLVA

HOWARD D. JOHNSON
CHARLES L. MICHOD, JR.
THOMAS B. CASSIDY
EUGENE W. BEELER, JR.
THOMAS H. DONOHOE
RODNEY W. OSBORNE

TELEPHONE 236-2400
AREA CODE 312

ROBERT M. PRINCE
OF COUNSEL

April 1, 1976

Mr. Martin L. Cassell
Route 2, Box 331
Sutton Road
Barrington, Illinois 60010

Re: Dunrovin Drive

Dear Martin:

During the meeting the other evening I think you were trying to show me the March 4 letter from Bleck Engineering and asked me what needed to be done. I am enclosing copies of my letter of February 25 and March 8 which will help to bring you up to date. I have not heard anything from Mr. Wenrich or from Mr. Klein since my March 8 letter.

Very truly yours,

SGC Cray

SGC:ja.
Encls.

March 8, 1976

Philip E. Wenrich, Esq.
Sidley & Austin
One First National Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Dear Phil:

Enclosed is a copy of the report of Bleck Engineering Company to the Plan Commission concerning the status of Dunrovin Drive. I presume that Mr. Klein should contact Mr. Cassell concerning it.

Very truly yours,

SGC:ja.
Encl.

February 25, 1976

Mr. Robert Lenzini
Bleck Engineering Company, Inc.
850 So. Green Bay Road
Waukegan, Illinois 60085

Re: Dedication of Dunrovin
Drive

Dear Bob:

Pursuant to our brief conversation following the February 23 Barrington Hills Village Board meeting I am enclosing copies of certain correspondence and documents concerning the proposed acceptance of Dunrovin Drive as a public street. The subdivider, Fred Klein, has already corrected the first deficiency noted in my letter of August 6, 1975 by delivering to us a Quitclaim Deed from Alabar Corporation. It has not yet been recorded, but it is in my possession ready for recording when the other conditions are met.

On behalf of the Plan Commission I would like to request you to inspect this road for compliance with the requirements of the Village Subdivision Ordinance. You will observe that acceptance is not permitted during the months of November through March. I am sure Mr. Klein would like to complete the dedication during the month of April if possible. In the meantime, a report from you to the Plan Commission and a recommendation from the Plan Commission to the Village Board is required. Pertinent provisions appear to be those contained

Mr. Robert Lenzini
Bleck Engrg. Co., Inc.
February 25, 1976
Page 2

in Article V D, VI F and the street standards in the appendix to the Subdivision Ordinance. If you find that the street as it now exists fails to comply with the current requirements as contained in the Subdivision Ordinance, you should specifically list each of the deficiencies in your report to the Plan Commission and where appropriate, should specifically recommend to the Plan Commission whether a variation from the requirement should be recommended by the Plan Commission to the Village Board. From the enclosed documents you will note that Mr. Klein was earlier endeavoring to comply with the street specifications contained in Ordinance 73-4. As you may already know, that ordinance has been repealed and replaced by the Subdivision Ordinance. The Subdivision Ordinance does contain certain minor changes in the earlier specifications. In all cases the Plan Commission should be made specifically aware of any deviations from the Subdivision Ordinance requirements. These deviations can be corrected wherever appropriate by the Plan Commission and Village Board upon proper recommendation by you.

Very truly yours,

Sydney G. Craig
Village Attorney
Village of Barrington Hills

SGC:ja.
Encls.

cc: Philip E. Wenrich
Barbara P. Hansen